



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/072,075	02/08/2002	B. Scott Darnell	064751.0344	9452		
5073 7	590 · 04/29/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. 2001 ROSS AVENUE			VU, THO	VU, THONG H		
SUITE 600	VENCE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DALLAS, TX 75201-2980			2142			
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/20/2009			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)				
· ·	10/072,0	75	DARNELL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
	Thong H.	Vu	2142				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no every within the standard will apply and wute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tir tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	April 2005.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except	for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex par</i> te Q	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•						
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from co	nsideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			1				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.			·				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election i	equirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad		objected to by the l	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is requi	red if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have bee	en received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document		· ·	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri							
application from the International Bure			ou in the Hadional Olage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	*	· · · · ·	ed.				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	8)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summa		rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20050421				

Application/Control Number: 10/072,075 Page 2

Art Unit: 2142

1. Claims 1-36 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see Remark filed4/11/05, with respect to Field reference have been fully considered and are persuasive. The Final of Office Action has been withdrawn.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-36 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-18 of U. S. Patent No. 6,381,647 B1 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

Art Unit: 2142

(Patent '647, claim1). An apparatus for initiating transmission of a plurality of frames in a network having a plurality of nodes serially interconnected in a loop topology, each frame identified by one of a plurality of type designations, the apparatus comprising:

a schedule memory storing:

a timer value for each frame type indicating a window for transmission of a frame type,

a delta time indicating the frequency of transmission of a frame type;

a sequence size for each frame type;

a list of frames to be transmitted for each frame type; and

a sequencer operable to search for available bandwidth during a sample window and access the schedule memory to initiate transmission of one or more of the frames in the list based on sequence size and available bandwidth. (Application, claim 1) a method for communicating information in a network having a plurality of nodes, comprising:

providing a frame for storing information, the frame identified by a frame type;

determining the frame type of the frame:

in response to determining the frame type, scheduling periodic transmission of the frame from a node in the network; and

transmitting the frame at the scheduled time.

Thus, It was obvious both invention discloses a technique of transmit a packet/frame type via network based on a schedule time.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Art Unit: 2142

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4,14,25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Harwood [5,604,867] in view of Malladi [5,638,518].

4. As per claim 1, Harwood discloses a method for communicating information in a network having a plurality of nodes [Harwood, ATM, LAN, col 7 lines 31-40; network topology loop conditions, col 40 line 3], comprising:

providing a frame for storing information, the frame identified by a frame type; determining the frame type of the frame [Harwood, a first frame format, a second frame format, col 7 line 29-col 8 line 3; FDDI frame type, col 10 lines 57-col11 lines 20];

However Harwood does not explicitly detail

in response to determining the frame type, scheduling periodic transmission of the frame from a node in the network; and transmitting the frame at the scheduled time.

In the same endeavor, Malladi discloses a FDDI/ATM network environment including frame type, loop topology, schedule transmission [Malladi, frame type, col 3 lines 30; loop topology, col 4 line 43; schedule transmission, col 21-22]

Therefore it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the schedule transmission as taught by Malladi into the Harwood's apparatus in order to utilize the frame type and loop topology. Doing so

Art Unit: 2142

would provide a dynamic link can be design in an application specific integrated circuit with custom specific functions appended thereto.

Page 4

- 5. As per claim 2, Harwood-Malladi disclose determining the frame type further comprises determining the maximum size of the frame [Harwood, maximum rate, col 19 lines 43,col 22 line 58,col 23 line 16];
- 6. As per claim 3, Harwood-Malladi disclose determining the frame type further comprises determining the rate of transmission of the frame [Harwood, rate counter, col 22 line 66].
- 7. As per claim 4, Harwood-Malladi disclose scheduling transmission of the frame comprises comparing a frame priority to the priority of a plurality of additional frames [Malladi, schedule and priority, col 21-22 lines 50-60; priority, col 27-28 lines 10-20].
- 8. Claims 14,25 contain the similar limitations set forth of claim 4. Therefore, claims 14,25 are rejected for the similar rationale set forth in claim 4.
- 9. As per claim 5, Harwood-Malladi disclose determining the maximum size of the frame comprises reading the maximum size of the frame from a list, the list storing possible frame types and the maximum size of each possible frame type [Harwood, maximum payload size, col 29 line 5].

Art Unit: 2142

10. As per claim 6, Harwood-Malladi disclose determining the rate of transmission of the frame comprises reading the rate of transmission of the frame from a list, the list storing possible frame types [Harwood, a list of MAC addresses of each frame, col 14 lines 1-9] and the rate of transmission of each possible frame type [Harwood, maximum transmission rate, col 5 lines 7-37].

Page 5

11. As per claim 7, Harwood-Malladi disclose a method for communicating information in a network having a plurality of nodes, comprising:

providing a first frame for storing information, the frame having a first maximum size [Harwood, a first data transmission speed, col 42 lines 20-67];

providing a second frame for storing information, the frame having a second maximum size, the first size being unequal to the second size [Harwood, a second data transmission speed, col 42 lines 20-67];

determining the first maximum size and the second maximum size [Harwood, maximum payload size, col 29 line 5];

response to determining the first maximum size and the second maximum size, scheduling periodic transmission of the first and second frames beginning at respective first and second scheduled times [Malladi, frame type, col 3 lines 30; loop topology, col 4 line 43; schedule transmission, col 21-22];

Art Unit: 2142

transmitting the first frame at the first scheduled time; and transmitting the

second frame at the second scheduled time [Malladi, frame type, col 3 lines 30; loop

Page 6

topology, col 4 line 43; schedule transmission, col 21-22].

12. As per claim 8, Harwood-Malladi disclose the first frame has a first frame type and the second frame has a second frame type [Harwood, the type of packet field, col 7 lines 25-60] and determining the first maximum size and the second maximum size comprises comparing the first and second frame types to a predefined set of frame types [Harwood, variable length, col 7 line 66 et seq.].

- 13. As per claim 9, Harwood-Malladi disclose determining the first and second rates and wherein scheduling periodic transmission of the first and second frames further comprises scheduling periodic transmission in response to determining the first and second rates [Malladi, frame type, col 3 lines 30; loop topology, col 4 line 43; schedule transmission, col 21-22].
- 14. As per claim 10, Harwood-Malladi disclose the first frame has a first frame type and the second frame has a second frame type and determining the first and second rates comprises comparing the first and second frame types predefined set of frame types [Harwood, filtering and comparison, col 39 line 1-15].

15. As per claim 11, Harwood-Malladi disclose a method for communicating information in a network having a plurality of nodes, comprising:

providing a first frame for storing information and second frame for storing information [Malladi, frame type, col 3 lines 30];

repeatedly transmitting the first frame plurality of nodes in the network at a first rate [Harwood, a first and second data transmission speed, col 42 lines 20-67, frame filter, col 5 lines 3-28]; and

repeatedly transmitting the second frame to a plurality of nodes in the network at a second rate, the first rate being unequal to the second rate [[Harwood, a second data transmission speed, col 42 lines 20-67, frame filter, col 5 lines 3-28].

Therefore it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the schedule transmission as taught by Malladi into the Harwood's apparatus in order to utilize the frame filter, first and second transmission speed. Doing so would provide a first transmission speed/rate with a first frame and the second speed/rate with other frame type based on the schedule which can be design in an application specific integrated circuit with custom specific functions appended thereto.

16. As per claim 12, Harwood-Malladi disclose providing a third frame for storing information, and transmitting the third frame to a plurality of nodes the network only when the repeated transmission of the first and second frames at the first and second

Art Unit: 2142

rates leaves available bandwidth for transmission of the third frame [Harwood, different speed, col 11 lines 20-38].

Page 8

- 17. As per claim 13, Harwood-Malladi disclose repeatedly transmitting the first frame at first rate comprises transmitting the first frame at a rate specified in a list stored in memory [Harwood, different speed, col 11 lines 20-38].
- 18. As per claim 15, Harwood-Malladi disclose a method for initiating transmission of sequence of related data frames in a network having a plurality of nodes serially interconnected in a loop topology, each frame identified by one of a plurality type designations [Harwood, ATM, LAN, col 7 lines 31-40; network topology loop conditions, col 40 line 3] comprising:

building a transmission queue for frames of each designated type, the queue organized by frame type [Malladi, frame type, col 3 lines 30 and containing pointers to the header of each sequence of frames [Harwood, header with information word, col 8 lines 16-67; indicator, col 21 lines 1-6];

building a transmission schedule table for transmission times for sequences of frames of each designated type [Malladi, frame type, col 3 lines 30; loop topology, col 4 line 43; schedule transmission, col 21-22]; and

transmitting in response to the transmission schedule table a sequence of frames of a first designated type to each of the serially interconnected nodes of the network

Application/Control Number: 10/072,075 Page 9

Art Unit: 2142

when an entry exists for a given designated type of frame [Malladi, frame type, col 3 lines 30; loop topology, col 4 line 43; schedule transmission, col 21-22]

- 19. As per claim 16, Harwood-Malladi disclose determining availability of the serially interconnected nodes of the network for transmission of data frames of a given designated type [Harwood, frame filter, col 5 lines 3-28].
- 20. As per claim 17, Harwood-Malladi disclose determining availability of the nodes of the network for transmission of data frames of a second designated type upon completion of transmission of data frame of a selected designated type [Harwood, frame filter, col 5 lines 3-28].
- 21. As per claim 18, Harwood-Malladi disclose storing frames of data by frame type for later transmission to the plurality of serially interconnected nodes [Harwood, serial port, col 12 line 49].
- 22. As per claim 19, Harwood-Malladi disclose building a transmission queue further comprises building a queue for isochronous frame types [Harwood, data stream, col 30 lines 55-67] and building a queue asynchronous frame types [Harwood, ATM, LAN, col 7 lines 31-40].

Application/Control Number: 10/072,075 Page 10

Art Unit: 2142

23. As per claim 20, Harwood-Malladi disclose transmitting a sequence of frames of a second type to each of the plurality of serially interconnected nodes during a sample window based on available bandwidth [Harwood, bandwidth, col 39 lines 1-15].

- 24. As per claim 21, Harwood discloses transmitting a sequence of frames of additional designated types at a frame type start time for each additional frame type at a predetermined rate during an allocated portion of a sample window for each of the additional frame types as inherent feature of packet type.
- 25. Claims 22-24,26-27; 28-31;32-33;34-36 contain the similar limitations set forth of claims 15-21. Therefore, claims 22-24,26-36 are rejected for the similar rationale set forth in claims 15-21.
- 26. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Thong Vu, whose telephone number is (571)-272-3904. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Jack Harvey*, can be reached at (571) 272-3896. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval IPAIRI system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PMR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thong Vu Patent Examiner Art Unit 2142

Mon